

**ASSESSMENT AND DOCUMENTATION OF PRACTICUM: STUDENTS'
ADAPTATION TO PEDAGOGICAL ACTIVITY****Eshonqulova Mushtari Abdurashidovna***Independent Researcher, Termez State Pedagogical Institute*

Annotation. The article analyzes the processes of assessing and documenting pedagogical practicum and their role in students' professional adaptation. It highlights the theoretical foundations of assessment, the main forms of documentation, and the stages of adaptation to pedagogical work. The study also examines the formation of students' methodological preparation, reflective skills, and innovative approaches during practicum.

Keywords: pedagogical practicum, assessment, documentation, adaptation, reflection, professional competence.

Introduction

In the system of pedagogical education, the practicum is a decisive stage in the professional formation of student teachers. Through it, the student tests theoretical knowledge, develops professional competences, and adapts to the pedagogical environment. Practicum is not merely about teaching lessons; it also involves integrating into school life, collaborating with the teaching community, studying learners' psychological characteristics, and consolidating methodological mastery in practice.

The theoretical roots of pedagogical practicum trace back to Dewey's experience-based learning theory, Kolb's experiential learning model, and Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), all of which emphasize the importance of experience and reflection in education. Additionally, Black and Wiliam's theory of formative assessment is applied to practicum evaluation. Thus, effective organization of practicum not only enhances professional readiness but also accelerates adaptation to pedagogical activity.

This article discusses the theoretical bases and contemporary approaches to assessing and documenting practicum, as well as their impact on students' adaptation. The aim is to analyze effective assessment methods, examine documentation processes, and identify mechanisms that ensure students' professional adaptation.

Methods

This article is based on qualitative research methods, employing a systematic literature review. The databases used included ERIC, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Web of Science.

Search keywords comprised “teacher practicum assessment,” “documentation of teaching practice,” “student teachers’ adaptation,” and “reflective teaching portfolio.”

Among the selected sources were articles published between 2000 and 2024, reports by international organizations such as UNESCO and OECD, and national regulatory documents on education. The PRISMA principle guided the screening of sources.

The literature was analyzed using thematic coding and integrative analysis to extract the key ideas on assessment criteria, documentation forms, and adaptation processes. Theoretical frameworks by Vygotsky, Dewey, Kolb, and Bandura were used to interpret students’ psycho-pedagogical adaptation.

Results

Theoretical bases of practicum assessment

Assessment is the core stage for determining practicum effectiveness. The literature indicates that effective assessment should integrate both formative and summative elements. Formative assessment (ongoing feedback, mentor observations, and student reflection) helps identify developmental dynamics, whereas summative assessment captures final outcomes, i.e., the level of competence achieved.

Within a competence-based approach, key criteria include:

- **Methodological competence** (planning and delivering lessons),
- **Communicative competence** (effective interaction with learners),
- **Reflective competence** (ability to analyze one’s practice),
- **ICT competence** (using information technologies in teaching).

These criteria allow for a more precise evaluation of student performance.

The role of documentation

Documentation plays a distinct role in the effective organization of practicum. Practicum diaries, lesson plans, mentor observation forms, reflective essays, and final reports serve as written evidence of students’ work. Many studies show that e-portfolios are an effective tool for systematically recording and assessing students’ competences. They help students showcase achievements, identify shortcomings, and engage in reflection. Documentation is not merely a formal process; it enables deep analysis of teaching practice and the planning of further professional growth.

Students’ adaptation to pedagogical activity

The adaptation process unfolds in three stages:

1. **Orientation** — the student enters the school environment, establishes initial communication with learners, and adapts to school culture.

2. **Integration** — the student becomes an active member of the teaching community, conducts lessons, and begins making independent decisions.

3. **Creative stage** — the student applies innovative methods and develops independent methodological approaches.

Findings indicate that in contexts with effective mentoring, regular reflection, and psychological support, students' adaptation to pedagogical activity is accelerated.

Discussion

The analysis shows that effective assessment and documentation of practicum directly influence students' adaptation to pedagogical work. Research grounded in the theories of Dewey and Kolb confirms the importance of learning through experience and reflection, while principles from Black and Wiliam underscore the need for continuous monitoring of growth during practicum.

The effectiveness of mentoring aligns closely with Vygotsky's ZPD: under expert guidance, students can perform at a level beyond their current independent capability. Hence, it is necessary to organize mentor training and standardize assessment criteria. Using portfolios as reflective platforms plays a significant role in professional development; electronic portfolios streamline and enhance this process. At the same time, to prevent documentation from becoming mere formalism, it must be integrated with methodological and reflective analysis.

In Uzbekistan, practicum often takes the form of control and formal reporting. Drawing on international experience, it is advisable to widely implement reflective portfolios in the national education system, strengthen mentoring, and revise assessment criteria based on a competence-oriented approach.

Conclusion

The findings indicate that practicum assessment and documentation create essential conditions for students' effective adaptation to pedagogical activity. Assessment should combine formative and summative elements, while documentation should function as a reflective tool that captures student development. To accelerate adaptation, it is necessary to:

- develop clear assessment criteria;
- implement electronic portfolios;
- organize training for mentors;
- establish mechanisms of psychological support;
- strengthen feedback systems between universities and schools.

Future work should expand empirical research in this area—e.g., studies on mentoring interventions, the effectiveness of electronic portfolios, and experimental investigations into students' adaptation processes.

References

1. O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidentining 2019-yil 29-apreldagi PF-5712-son Farmoni "O'zbekiston Respublikasida ta'lim tizimini 2030-yilgacha rivojlantirish konsepsiyasini tasdiqlash to'g'risida" // *O'zbekiston Respublikasi qonun hujjatlari to'plami*. — 2019. — № 22. — B. 270–276.
2. O'zbekiston Respublikasi Vazirlar Mahkamasi. *Pedagogik ta'limni rivojlantirish konsepsiyasi*. — Toshkent, 2021. — 28 b.
3. O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidentining 2020-yil 6-noyabrdagi PQ-4884-son qarori "Pedagog kadrlarni tayyorlash tizimini yanada takomillashtirish chora-tadbirlari to'g'risida" // *O'zbekiston Respublikasi qonun hujjatlari to'plami*. — 2020. — № 45. — B. 552–557.
4. Abduqodirov A. A. *Pedagogik texnologiyalar asoslari*. — Toshkent: Fan, 2010. — 178 b.
5. Azizxo'jayeva N. N. *Pedagogik kompetentlik va pedagogik texnologiyalar*. — Toshkent: TDPU nashriyoti, 2007. — 152 b.
6. Seytxalilov N. *Bo'lajak o'qituvchilarning amaliy tayyorgarligini baholash tizimi*. — Toshkent: O'zbekiston Respublikasi XTV Ilmiy-metodik markazi, 2019. — 96 b.
7. Xoliqberdiyev K. X. *Oliy ta'limda pedagogik amaliyotning samaradorligini oshirish metodlari*. — Termiz: TerDPI nashriyoti, 2021. — 104 b.
8. Tursunov S. S. *Talabalarning kasbiy kompetentligini shakllantirish mexanizmlari*. — Samarqand: SamDU, 2018. — 112 b.
9. Yo'ldoshev J. G. *Innovatsion ta'lim va tarbiya nazariyasi*. — Toshkent: O'zbekiston Milliy ensiklopediyasi, 2016. — 224 b.
10. Bandura A. *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. — New York: W. H. Freeman, 1997. — 604 p.
11. Black P., Wiliam D. Assessment and classroom learning // *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*. — 1998. — Vol. 5, No. 1. — P. 7–74. — DOI: 10.1080/0969595980050102.
12. Borko H. Professional development and teacher learning: Mapping the terrain // *Educational Researcher*. — 2004. — Vol. 33, No. 8. — P. 3–15. — DOI: 10.3102/0013189X033008003.

13. Darling-Hammond L. *Powerful teacher education: Lessons from exemplary programs*. — San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2006. — 389 p.
14. Dewey J. *Experience and education*. — New York: Macmillan, 1938. — 116 p.
15. Kolb D. A. *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. — Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1984. — 256 p.
16. OECD. *OECD Future of Education and Skills 2030: OECD Learning Compass 2030*. — Paris: OECD Publishing, 2019. — 64 p.
17. Page M. J., McKenzie J. E., Bossuyt P. M., et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews // *BMJ*. — 2021. — Vol. 372, n71. — DOI: 10.1136/bmj.n71.
18. UNESCO. *A Guide for Ensuring Inclusion and Equity in Education*. — Paris: UNESCO, 2017. — 48 p.
19. Zeichner K. Rethinking the connections between campus courses and field experiences in college- and university-based teacher education // *Journal of Teacher Education*. — 2010. — Vol. 61, No. 1–2. — P. 89–99. — DOI: 10.1177/0022487109347671.