

MORPHOSYNTACTIC VARIATION IN CONTEMPORARY ENGLISH

*Kalimbetova Kizlargul Yusupovna**Nukus State Pedagogical Institute named after Ajiniyaz**English Assistant Teacher*

Abstract: This article explores the morphosyntactic variation in contemporary English, focusing on the differences between various dialects and sociolects. By analyzing corpus data and conducting a comprehensive literature review, this study aims to identify and categorize the main areas of variation in English morphology and syntax. The analysis reveals that factors such as geographical location, social class, and education level contribute to these variations.

Keywords: morphosyntactic variation, contemporary English, dialects, sociolects, corpus analysis

Аннотация: В данной статье исследуется морфосинтаксическая вариативность в современном английском языке, особое внимание уделяется различиям между различными диалектами и социолектами. Цель данного исследования - выявить и классифицировать основные области вариативности в морфологии и синтаксисе английского языка путем анализа корпусных данных и проведения всестороннего обзора литературы. Анализ показывает, что на эти различия влияют такие факторы, как географическое положение, социальный класс и уровень образования.

Ключевые слова: морфосинтаксические вариации, современный английский, диалекты, социолекты, корпусной анализ.

INTRODUCTION

The English language has undergone significant changes over the centuries, resulting in a diverse array of dialects and sociolects. These varieties of English exhibit distinct morphosyntactic features, which have been the subject of extensive research in the field of linguistics. This article aims to investigate the morphosyntactic variation in contemporary English, focusing on the differences between various dialects and sociolects. By analyzing

corpus data and conducting a comprehensive literature review, this study seeks to identify and categorize the main areas of variation in English morphology and syntax.

METHODS AND LITERATURE REVIEW

To conduct this study, a mixed-methods approach was employed, combining quantitative corpus analysis with a qualitative literature review. The corpus data was obtained from the British National Corpus (BNC) and the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA), which collectively contain over 1 billion words of written and spoken English [1][2]. These corpora were analyzed using concordance software to identify patterns of morphosyntactic variation across different dialects and sociolects.

In addition to the corpus analysis, a comprehensive literature review was conducted to identify previous research on morphosyntactic variation in English. Key studies in this field include the work of Trudgill [3], who investigated the social and geographical factors influencing dialect variation, and the research of Biber et al. [4], who analyzed the grammatical features of different registers of English.

RESULTS

The corpus analysis and literature review revealed several key areas of morphosyntactic variation in contemporary English. One of the most prominent differences was found in verb conjugation patterns. For example, the use of the non-standard verb form "ain't" is more common in certain dialects, such as African American Vernacular English (AAVE) and Cockney English, compared to Standard English [5][6].

Another area of variation was identified in pronoun usage. The use of the singular "they" as a gender-neutral pronoun has become increasingly common in recent years, particularly in informal contexts [7]. Additionally, the use of the second-person plural pronoun "y'all" is a distinctive feature of Southern American English [8].

Sentence structure also exhibited significant variation across different varieties of English. For instance, the use of multiple negation, such as "I didn't do nothing," is a characteristic feature of AAVE [9]. In contrast, the use of the "do" auxiliary in affirmative sentences, as in "I do like it," is more prevalent in Irish English.

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The observed morphosyntactic variations in contemporary English can be attributed to a range of factors, including geographical location, social class, and education level. Geographical factors play a significant role in the development of regional dialects, as exemplified by the distinct features of Southern American English and Cockney English. Social class and education level also influence language use, with non-standard forms being more common among working-class speakers and those with lower levels of formal education.

The findings of this study have important implications for English language teaching and language policy development. Language educators should be aware of the morphosyntactic variations present in different varieties of English and incorporate this knowledge into their teaching practices. This can help learners develop a more comprehensive understanding of the English language and its diverse forms.

Moreover, language policymakers should consider the social and cultural significance of morphosyntactic variation when developing language standards and guidelines. Recognizing and respecting the diversity of English varieties can promote linguistic inclusivity and reduce language-based discrimination.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this article has demonstrated the rich morphosyntactic variation present in contemporary English. By analyzing corpus data and conducting a comprehensive literature review, the study has identified key areas of variation in verb conjugation, pronoun usage, and sentence structure. These variations can be attributed to factors such as geographical location, social class, and education level.

The findings of this study underscore the importance of recognizing and celebrating the diversity of English varieties. Language educators and policymakers should take these variations into account when developing teaching practices and language standards, promoting linguistic inclusivity and reducing language-based discrimination.

REFERENCES

1. British National Corpus. (2007). BNC XML Edition. Oxford University Computing Services.
1. Davies, M. (2008). The Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA): 560 million words, 1990-present. \ Турдиева, Г., & Абишов, М. (2024). ФАКТОРЫ,

- ВЛИЯЮЩИЕ НА ИЗМЕНЕНИЕ СУММЫ НАЛОГА НА ПРИБЫЛЬ КОММЕРЧЕСКИХ БАНКОВ. *STUDIES IN ECONOMICS AND EDUCATION IN THE MODERN WORLD*, 3(2), 9-18.
2. Turdieva, G. O., Utebergenov, A., & Bekimbetova, G. (2024). DEVELOPMENT OF PERIODIC COST ACCOUNTING IN TURKEY. *STUDIES IN ECONOMICS AND EDUCATION IN THE MODERN WORLD*, 3(2), 9-18.
 2. Turdiyeva, G., & Kadirbaeva, A. (2024, February). DEVELOPMENT POINTS OF THE FACTOR ANALYSIS OF THE PROFIT TAX OF COMMERCIAL BANKS. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Scientific Research in Natural and Social Sciences* (Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 114-118).
 3. Sarsenbaevich, A. M., Omirbayevna, T. G., & Ilham, N. (2021). Ways to Increase the Influence of Taxes in The Development of Financial and Economic Activities of Construction Enterprises. *International Journal on Economics, Finance and Sustainable Development*, 3(5), 85-90.
 4. Turdieva, G., Abishov, M., & Muratbaev, I. (2024). THE IMPACT OF CREDIT RISKS ON AN EFFECTIVE ORGANIZATION IN THE FINANCIAL SERVICES OF COMMERCIAL BANKS. *NRJ*, 1(4), 215-225.
 5. Элмуротов, Ж. Ш., & Азимова, Ч. А. (2023). ҚАДИМГИ ШАРҚ ВА АНТИК ДАВР ФАЛСАФАСИНИНГ ЎЗГАРМОҚДАГИ ФАРҚ ҚИЛУВЧИ ВА ЎХШАШ ЖИҲАТЛАРИ. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 4(5), 669-677.
 6. Азимова, Ч. А. (2022). ГЛОБАЛЛАШУВ ДАВРИДА ЭРКИНЛИК ТУШУНЧАСИНИНГ ИЖТИМОЙ-ФАЛСАФИЙ ТАҲЛИЛИ. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 3(6), 410-415.
 7. Байназаров, М. М., Миркасимова, Х. Х., Саидалиев, С. С., Камолходжаев, Д. А., & Тураев, Б. Ш. (2023). Выявление маркеров хантавирусной инфекции в Узбекистане.
 8. Halimovna, K. D. Senior teacher of Bukhara State University.
 9. Halimovna, K. D. SCIENCE, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.
 10. Karimova, D. H. (2018). Tulaganova DA PECULIAR FEATURES OF GERMAN LITERATURE IN THE SECOND HALF OF THE XX CENTURY. *Scientific reports of Bukhara State University*, 1(2), 120-124.
 11. Каримова, Д. Х. (2019). Вариантность в переводе (на примере произведений

- Братьев Гримм). *Вестник науки и образования*, (4-2 (58)), 43-46.
12. Narzullayeva, F. (2024). MAQOL VA AFORIZMLARNING ANAMIYATI. *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz)*, 49(49).
13. Иззетова, Э. М., & Ли, Е. В. (2022). Философский анализ образования и науки в контексте глобальных культурных трансформаций. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 3(NUU Conference 2), 326-331.
14. Izzetova, E. M., & Li, Y. V. (2022). Philosophical and hermeneutic analysis of the symbolic worldview in the context of the aesthetic culture of China. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 9(2), 284-292.
15. Rakhmatullayeva, S. (2023). PROBLEMS OF PRAGMATIC LEARNING OF GRAMMATICAL FORMS. *Science and innovation*, 2(B2), 408-411.
16. Рахматуллаева, Ш. З. (2016). РОЛЬ ЭТНИЧЕСКИХ ФАКТОРОВ РЕАЛИЗАЦИИ ГРАММАТИЧЕСКИХ ЗНАЧЕНИЙ ФОРМ СПОСОБА ДЕЙСТВИЯ. *Журнал научных публикаций аспирантов и докторантов*, (6), 61-63.
17. Abdullayeva, N. (2023). AHMAD YASSAWI SECT: THE ISSUE OF ZIKR AND THE STATUS OF ZAKIR. *Modern Science and Research*, 2(5), 438-440.
18. Aripovna, R. S., & Qizi, S. M. A. (2023, May). EFFECTIVE METHODS OF TEACHING ENGLISH TO YOUNG CHILDREN. In " USA " INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC AND PRACTICAL CONFERENCE TOPICAL ISSUES OF SCIENCE (Vol. 8, No. 1).
19. Trudgill, P. (2000). *Sociolinguistics: An introduction to language and society* (4th ed.). Penguin Books.
20. Biber, D., Johansson, S., Leech, G., Conrad, S., & Finegan, E. (1999). *Longman grammar of spoken and written English*. Longman.
21. Green, L. J. (2002). *African American English: A linguistic introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
22. Hughes, A., Trudgill, P., & Watt, D. (2012). *English accents and dialects: An introduction to social and regional varieties of English in the British Isles* (5th ed.). Routledge.